



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,083	11/08/2000	Toshiki Kindo	43890-463	43890-463 9891	
20277 75	7 7590 03/03/2004		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			ROBINSON, G	ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, _ 0		2177	13	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	1 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ph

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/708,083	KINDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Greta L. Robinson	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	<u>bruary 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/708,083 Page 2

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 22,2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, 13 and 14 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding the limitation, "assigning a priority to a keyword, said keyword operative as a tag, which is tagged to an image" [note independent claims 1 lines 3-4; and claim 4 lines 3-4], the Examiner notes that the element of assigning a priority to a keyword, does not appear to be described in the disclosure. Applicant does not appear to use the term assigning a priority to a keyword, the disclosure does make reference to a tag entry system note element 2, figure 1. The disclosure appears to make reference to tagging a keyword to the electronic image signal as opposed to assigning a priority; the user inputs a retrieval request signal in menu entry section 4 in order to search a desired image see page 3 lines 25-27. The disclosure appears to associate the tagging of keywords with a signal as opposed to a priority as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 4 the limitation, "assigning a priority to a keyword, said keyword operative as a tag, which is tagged to an image" [note independent claims 1 lines 3-4; and claim 4 lines 3-4] is vague and unclear. The Examiner notes that the element of assigning a priority to a keyword, does not appear to be described in the

Application/Control Number: 09/708,083 Page 4

Art Unit: 2177

disclosure. The disclosure appears to associate the tagging of keywords with a signal as opposed to a priority as claimed.

Claims 2-3 and 5-14 are rejected based on dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jain et al. US Patent 5,913,205.

Regarding claims 1-8, 13 and 14 **Jain et al.** teaches a method of "retrieving an image from at least one of an information-storage medium and an information network" [note: col. 9 lines 11-37; figure 1A]. Jain et al. teaches "assigning a priority to a keyword, said keyword operative as a tag ... searching for the image ... and displaying the image, based on the degree of importance of the image" [note: col.11 lines 9-28].

The most important aspect of the Query Window 200 are sliders to control the relative importance or weights for the visual and textual aspects of the query. There are sliders to indicate the importance of visual query attributes such as keywords [col.11 lines 9-28].

Application/Control Number: 09/708,083 Page 5

Art Unit: 2177

Respons to Argum nts

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703)

308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GRETA ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner

March 1, 2004